

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/629,234	BHATTACHARYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Andy Ho.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Spellman (Reg. No. 45,124).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: 6:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
27-29

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the communication on 6/9/2005, the examiner informed the applicant's representative (Mr. Steven Spellman) of the status of the application in which claims 1-26 and 30-35 are allowed, claims 27-29 are withdrawn based on previous election. A decision of canceling claims 27-29 had been made. The applicant's representative also authorized the examiner to make the changes to the application in an examiner's amendment. .